



WORK SESSION MEETING ACTION MINUTES

MONDAY, AUGUST 8 2022 6:00 P.M.

Mayor & City Council

Mayor Anthony S. Ford
Mayor Pro Tem Alphonso Thomas
Councilman Elton Alexander
Councilwoman Yolanda Barber
Councilman John Blount
Councilwoman LaKeisha Gantt

Administration

Frederick Gardiner, City Manager
Vanessa Holiday, City Clerk
John Wiggins, City Treasurer
Quinton Washington, City Attorney
Jeremy T. Berry, City Attorney

Mission: To provide visionary leadership and superior municipal services that enhance the quality of life for citizens while creating a welcoming business atmosphere focused on sustainability and expansion of tourism and cultural events.

- I. **Call to Order – Mayor Ford**
- II. **Invocation – Councilman Blount**
- III. **Pledge of Allegiance – Recited by all in attendance**
- IV. **Roll Call – All members were in attendance except Councilman Alexander**
- V. **Motion to amend the Agenda to remove Item #4 to be presented at the August 30th meeting – Thomas/Gantt Passed 4-0**
- VI. **Motion to adopt the Agenda – Blount/Thomas Passed 4-0**

Councilman Alexander joined the meeting

- VII. **ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES – Blount/Barber Passed 5-0**
 - 1 Council Meeting Minutes June 13, 2022
 - 2 Work Session Minutes June 29, 2022

PUBLIC COMMENTS - All persons wishing to speak for public comment must sign in with the City Clerk prior to the beginning of the meeting noting your name, address, and phone number. You will be allotted three (3) minutes. Speakers must respect all members of the elected body, officials, and staff. Defamation, unruliness and/or swearing will not be tolerated. **None**

CEREMONIAL

3. Proclamation – City of Stockbridge Centennial Celebration presented by Mayor Ford.

PRESENTATION

4. **Fy2022 AUDIT REPORT** – Presented by Hope Pendergrass of Mauldin & Jenkins
Removed from the Agenda to be presented August 30, 2022

PUBLIC HEARING

5. **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CASE #CP-2022-01** -- The City of Stockbridge Mayor and City Council will hear a Comprehensive Plan Amendment Request by Remi Rose Development, LLC, the Applicant, represented by Battle Law, P.C., the Agent, to change the future land use designation of certain property from 'Low-Density Vertical Mixed-Use' to 'High-Density Residential' to allow for a mixed-use development. The property contains two parcels, as are listed below, with 68.87 +/- total acres within the Stockbridge City Limits. The City of Stockbridge Planning Commission recommends approval.

#012-01003000 – The smaller parcel. Contains 3.131 +/- acres and comprises the property at 1036 Highway 138 West, across from Spivey Road. Located in Land Lot 55 of District 12.

- **Parcel #012-01002000** – The larger parcel. Contains 65.743 +/- acres. Situated adjacent to the western side of the smaller parcel, with frontage on Highway 138 West, across from Tributary Parkway. Located in Land Lot 42 of District 12.

Presented by: Ryan Anderson, Chief Planner

Mayor Ford noted there will be a Public Hearing on Agenda Item #5 – The Comprehensive Plan Amendment.

Mr. Anderson noted the proposed Crescent Communities Mixed-Use Development—from Low-Density Vertical Mixed-Use to High Density Residential; this item was originally heard at the June 13th City Council Meeting where there was no action taken on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment due to a failed motion, and because there was no action taken, the item was continued to the August 8th City Council Meeting; noted the proposed land use amendment is required to facilitate the re-zoning of the subject property; noted currently, the low density vertical mixed use land use designation does not allow for the type of zoning the applicant is proposing based on density limits therefore, necessitating the need for a land use amendment to high density residential which allows for the type of zoning that is being proposed; noted this item is accompanied by a re-zoning request (whereby the applicant is seeking to rezone property, approximately 70 acres located on Hwy 138 West, west of I-75 and Mount Zion Parkway from the C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 (General Commercial) districts to the PUD district to allow for a mixed-use development originally containing approximately 48,000 square feet of commercial/retail space with 2 buildings, 360 apartment units within 8 buildings and 102 townhomes within 22 buildings which was originally slated to heard by the City Council on June 13, 2022 but was deferred to July 11th and during that time, the applicant submitted a revised site plan that reflects a reduction in scale and scope of the proposed project; noted the applicant is now proposing 297 apartments down from 360 for a reduction of 63 units; increased the proposed number of townhomes to 128 units to 102 units that will result in an increase of 26 townhomes increasing the homeownership opportunities for Stockbridge residents; noted the original site plan indicated a total of 462 combined units of apartments and townhomes, and the new revised site plan indicate 425 combined units; and noted overall, the new site plan has a reduction of 37 units.

Mr. Anderson noted the original plan had a proposed density rate of 6.6 units per acre and the revised site plan has a density rate of barely 6 units per acre, which is on the low end of the spectrum for high-density residential which ranges from 6-16 units per acre. Due to the subject property's large size, location along a state highway and proximity to interstates 75 and 675, staff deems the applicant proposal suitable for the area and the proposal could serve as a catalyst for future commercial development in the area; noted staff recommends approval of the proposed land use amendment from low density vertical mixed use to high density residential; and further stated this item has an accompanying re-zoning which was heard at the last Council Meeting and is slated for a vote later this evening.

Attorney Battle presented on behalf of the applicant, noting the focus of amendment was based on the city's 2018 Comprehensive Plan as adopted citing the housing needs that were identified to attract young professionals, and are trying to achieve the goals of the city's Comprehensive Plan; noted the closest apartments within the city limits are Camden on Peridot Parkway; noted the city's adopted Comprehensive Plan cites the need for multi-family to be added to the housing inventory; noted the request is at the high end of high density at 6 units per acre; noted the request has reduced the density overall for the multi-family units to 153 1-bedroom units, 108 2-bedroom units and 36 3-bedroom units and a minimum of 128 townhomes with a mandatory Homeowners Association (HOA) for the townhome units; and will include landscaping, and buffer, minimum 20 feet in width; and the apartment community would be gated with brick front; noted there would be parking with some garages; the 1BR units rent would start at \$1300, 2BR units \$2000 rent and 3BR units \$2200 and the townhomes selling price would start at \$375,000 with a 5% rental cap, targeting rental professionals.

Attorney Battle noted she has met with councilmembers and community members to address questions and concerns; the plan meets the requirements of the city's ordinance, and the development is an alternative for those who may not be ready to purchase a home.

Councilwoman Barber noted staff has recommended approval asking why the apartments are not 4-sided brick.

Mr. Anderson responded that it is not a requirement for apartments.

Councilwoman Barber noted she had a conversation with Attorney Battle and the applicant Mr. Oros where she stated it was important to her to see a development that distinguished it from the standard developments, such as the ones being developed in Clayton County, and would like to see more brick.

Mr. Anderson noted that nationwide, the development is usually only front-side brick,

Councilwoman Barber expressed her concern of not having access to the retail shops with Kohl's without having to come out to Hwy. 138 and asked if that could be addressed.

Councilman Alexander noted that a Public Hearing on this item took place on June 13, 2022, and asked the attorneys if a public hearing is required on this item today.

Attorney Valerie Ross noted that because the June 13, 2022, action did not result decision, the item was re-advertised for an additional public hearing.

Public Hearing Opened

No Speakers in Favor

Speakers Opposed

- Ms. Mary Wilkerson 7711 Devon Ct. Jonesboro, GA (Henry County) and asked what the distance would be between her home and the development, noting she was promised a minimum of 100 feet; noted she is concerned about crime, the amount of rent and the cost of the townhomes.
- Mr. Christopher Calando 358 Emerald Lake Jonesboro, GA (Henry County) expressed concerns about the traffic, infrastructure; noted plans are in place for a development on Walt Stephens near Ingles; and cited the city's Mission Statement; and noted plans to seek injunctions to stop the development.
- Ms. Susan Snair 7750 Glen Devon Rd. Jonesboro, GA (Henry County) noted the proposed development is 50 yards from her house and has concerns about the traffic, prices, and another option for getting out of the development other than Hwy. 138
- Mr. Charles Devonshire 2964 Lake Park Dr. Jonesboro, GA expressed concerns of the area becoming over-populated along the Hwy. 138 corridor and no infrastructure to support it.
- Mr. Timothy Koby 8663 North Shore Drive Jonesboro, GA (Clayton County) noted he has been a resident for 45 years and was owner of a veterinarian business in the area for 45 years and raised his family in the community and expressed his concerns over the impact to the schools, medical facilities, the infrastructure, and quality of life, and suggesting millennials have plenty of other places to live.
- Mr. Michael Lambert 7639 Glen Devon Rd. Jonesboro, GA (Henry County) expressed concerns with the infrastructure, public safety, transients, tenant turnover, decrease in property values, traffic, and fast-food restaurants.

Attorney Battle responded noted there were two points of entry and a full service stop light – Hwy, 138 and the other side of the component would be a right in right out only; noted you would not have to drive past apartments to get to the townhomes; and noted the applicant is willing to put in a sub-out street; and noted an entrance along Mt. Zion is not feasible; noted the property is zoned C-3 and there would be more traffic with that type of commercial build out; noted the rear of the homes would be 100 feet from the improvements with a 50 ft undisturbed buffer and a trail.

Public Hearing Closed

Councilman Alexander asked Attorney Battle to confirm that a full light will be in place to turn left out of the development, and that this was a \$100 million dollar investment in the community.

Attorney Battle confirmed that to be correct.

Mayor Pro Tem Thomas asked for clarification from Attorney Battle, noting during his research of the land use, it appears the property is zoned to allow for the development of a 2-story all-rental development if the applicant so desires, and would not need to seek rezoning approval to do so, correct?

Attorney Battle confirmed that was correct, noting the city's Code for MFR (Multi-Family) allows for apartments, further noting, the UDC takes precedence noting C1, C2, and PTD Zoning.

Councilman Blount noted that every development comes through the Council to build; and noted his concern of the rent prices.

Councilwoman Gantt asked Attorney Battle if the developer can go lower than a high rise.

Attorney Battle noted the ability to be able to lay the development out for mixed-use, retail or the commercial component is based on the project.

Councilwoman Gantt noted the trend for mixed-use and the Council spoke about this several years ago and asked if the developer can build apartments based on the zoning, why is this item on the Agenda for Council approval.

Attorney Washington noted the Council needs to vote on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment for the developer to move forward.

Mr. Anderson noted that currently, the city's Comprehensive Plan which was adopted in 2018 is outdated and in conflict with the city's UDC (Unified Development Code) which was approved March 14, 2022, and this action will bring the Comprehensive Plan in line; and confirmed, yes, apartments can be built right, with a different density.

Attorney Battle referenced the city's land use plan and the vision of the city per the 2018 adopted Comprehensive Plan and confirmed yes, under the current zoning, apartments can be built without any approvals required, however, the applicant prefers to build a better development with less density, 48,000 of retail (not below the residences), single-family detached townhomes and luxury apartments; and also noted the city of Stockbridge defines 6 units per acre as high density whereas 6 units per acre is defined as medium density in other municipalities.

Councilwoman Barber noted based on the size of the development, similarly to a mall, there should be multiple access points and having access to Kohl's without having to enter onto Hwy. 138.

Attorney Battle noted the property only has frontage on one road; there is no frontage on the public right-of-way and noted a commercial project would have higher, more volume of traffic than this development.

Mayor Pro Tem Thomas thanked Attorney Battle for clarifying his original question, noting it is important to know that a developer could come in and build a rental apartment only without coming before the Council for a rezoning request and wants the community to have a better understanding of that.

Councilman Alexander asked Mr. Anderson to clarify that what is needed from the Council is to approve the Comp Plan Amendment to match the UDC.

Mr. Anderson confirmed that to be correct; and confirmed that other municipalities to define .6 to be low to medium density, whereas the city of Stockbridge defines .6 as high density.

Councilman Blount asked if the Core of Engineers has been involved.

Attorney Battle noted there is a stream buffer, and the developer cannot encroach upon the stream noting a bridge would be required, and the developer cannot encroach upon the other property.

Mr. Anderson referenced the sidewalk and trail plan that is also forthcoming for future connectivity.

Attorney Battled noted she understood Councilwoman Barber's concern to be for vehicular travel, noting the developer would agree to include a Stub-Out for Inter-parcel Connectivity to address Councilwoman Barber's concern.

Councilman Alexander motioned to approve the Comp Plan Amendment with the condition to Stub-Out for Inter-parcel Connectivity. There was no second to the motion

Councilwoman Gantt called for a Point of Order noting the Council needs to vote on the Comp Plan Amendment only, not any conditions.

Attorney Ross agreed the motion should speak specifically to the Comp Plan Amendment.

Councilman Alexander motioned to approve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Thomas. The vote resulted in a tie 2-2-1 (Barber Abstained).

Councilman Blount noted he wanted to change his vote and asked the City Attorney to research the Charter as to how he could change his vote.

Mayor Ford indicated that he saw Councilman Blount's hand raised when the vote was called, and that Councilman Blount voted in opposition.

Councilman Blount noted he wanted to change his vote and asked the City Attorney to locate the information in the Charter where he could change his vote.

The consensus of the Council was to take a recess.

Attorney Washington referenced the Charter Section 3.5 regarding voting whereas three votes in the affirmative can bring back an item for reconsideration, and notified Mayor Ford that he should cast his vote either in the affirmative or opposition.

Councilman Blount stated the City Attorney had not provided the information pertaining to changing his vote.

Attorney Washington noted he made a request to IT to review the video and was advised the video would need to finish recording before it could be reviewed, and therefore must rely on the vote as captured by the City Clerk, the official record keeper who showed the tie vote as 2-2-1.

Mayor Ford noted the reason the Clerk has recorded the vote that way is because he saw Councilman Blount's hand raised in opposition and the vote was captured correctly as he also saw the hands of councilmembers with two in the affirmative, two opposed and one abstention.

Mayor Ford voted in favor of the Comprehensive Plan Amendment; the motion passed 3-2-1 (Barber Abstained).

Councilman Blount stated that he attempted to get Mayor Ford's attention to notify him that his intention was to abstain from the vote and Mayor Ford took that to be a no vote and appealed to the Council to allow him to change his vote to an abstention.

Attorney Washington advised Councilman Blount that because his vote was not in the prevailing side of the vote of affirmative, he could not bring the item back for reconsideration.

Mayor Ford noted that following review of the video and if he was incorrect in how the votes were casts, he would bring the item back for reconsideration.

6. REZONING CASE #RZ-2022-01 -- Remi Rose Development, LLC, the Applicant, represented by Battle Law, P.C. the Agent, requests the rezoning of certain property from the C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 (General Commercial), districts to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) Overlay) district to allow for a mixed-use development. The property contains two parcels, as are listed below, with 68.87 +/- total acres within the Stockbridge City Limits.

- Parcel #012-01003000 – The smaller parcel. Currently zoned 'C-1' and 'PMU'. Contains 3.131 +/- acres and comprises the property at 1036 Highway 138 West, across from Spivey Road. Located in Land Lot 55 of District 12.

- Parcel #012-01002000 – The larger parcel. Currently zoned 'C-2' and 'PMU' Contains 65.743 +/- acres situated adjacent to the western side of the smaller parcel, with frontage on Highway 138 West, across from Tributary Parkway. Located in Land Lot 42 of District 12.

NOTE: *The Planning Commission recommended the approval of this case with conditions at its meeting on May 26, 2022, and the City Council recommended deferral of the vote on this item at its July 11, 2022, meeting until August 8, 2022.*

Presented by Ryan Anderson, Chief Planner

Mayor Ford noted there will be a decision only on (item 6) for the Re-Zoning and no public hearing.

Mr. Anderson noted the proposed Crescent Communities Mixed-Use Development— From C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 (General Commercial) to PUD (Planned Unit Development); noted this Agenda item (whereby the applicant is seeking to rezone property, approximately 69 acres located on Hwy 138 West, west of I-75 and Mount Zion Parkway from the C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 (General Commercial) districts to the PUD district to allow for a mixed-use development containing approximately 48,000 square feet of commercial/retail space with 2 buildings, 360 apartment units within 8 buildings and 102 townhomes within 22 buildings was originally slated to heard by the City Council on June 13, 2022; noted this re-zoning item was heard on July 11, 2022, by the City Council; noted at that meeting the applicant submitted a new site plan that reflects a reduction in scale and scope; noted the applicant is now proposing 297 apartments down from 360 for a reduction of 63 units; and noted the applicant increased the proposed number of townhomes from 102 units to 128 units; noted that will result in 26 additional townhomes thus increasing the homeownership opportunities for Stockbridge residents. The original site plan indicated a total of 488 combined units of apartments and townhomes, and the new proposed site plan indicate 425 combined units. Overall, the new site plan has a reduction of 63 units. The new gross density will be approximately 6 units per acre versus the previous proposed density of approximately 6.6 units per acre; noted this item accompanied a Comprehensive Plan Amendment which was heard earlier tonight.

Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from C1 and C2 to PUD with conditions:

1. The project will be developed in conformance with the City of Stockbridge Unified Development Code and all other City Code sections regarding site development standards and requirements.
2. Regarding the Property's Future Land Use Designation -- The approval of Case #CP-2022-01 to change the property's future land use designation from Low-Density Vertical to High-Density Residential shall occur simultaneously with the approval of Case #RZ-2022-01 for the rezoning of the property.
3. Regarding the Parcels Comprising the Development Site – If the applicant wishes to include only a portion of the smaller parcel (Parcel #012-01003000) with the larger parcel (Parcel #012-01002000) to form the project development site, then the rezoning shall not be finalized until that portion of the smaller parcel is subdivided from the remainder of the smaller parcel and combined with the larger parcel simultaneously; and this shall not be finalized until a new plat is officially recorded by the Henry County Super Court (Real Estate Records Division).
4. Regarding Environmental Matters – The applicant shall comply with the following:
 - a. Take appropriate steps for the environmental protection of Reeves Creek, the floodplain areas, and other sensitive site areas.
 - b. Submit an acceptable landscape plan to the Community Development Dept.
 - c. Preserve and maintain the existing cemetery and provide adequate access to it.

5. Regarding the Issuance of Land Disturbance and Building Permits – No land disturbance or building permit shall be issued for the subject property until the applicant has had the new recombination plat officially recorded and has submitted a copy of the recorded plat to the Community Development Department.
6. The proposed project will be developed in general conformity with the Site Plan Rezoning Exhibit for Highway 138 Dated April 4, 2022, with no more than 297 apartment units and a minimum of 128 fee simple townhome units.
7. There shall be a mandatory homeowner's association for the townhome community, with a recorded Declaration of Restrictive Covenants which limits the total number of rental units within the townhome community to no more than 5% (i.e., 6 units).
8. The frontage along GA Highway 138 adjacent to the Apartment Units shall be landscaped with trees and shrubs as approved by the City Arborist in order to provide a visual buffer from GA Highway 138. The landscape strip shall be a minimum of 20ft in width.
9. The apartment community shall be gated.
10. There shall be a separate amenity area for the apartment community and the townhome community with a pool and a clubhouse for each community.
11. The townhomes shall be all brick fronts.

Councilwoman Barber asked the condition for the Sub-Out to be included.

Councilwoman Gantt polled the Council on who made the request for all brick front design; noting everyone on the Council offers their own opinion and one person does not speak for the Council. There was no confirmation of who made the request.

Attorney Battle noted she would be happier with the 50% brick condition.

Mr. Anderson noted the brick condition was made by the applicant based on what he thought the Council wanted to see in the development.

Attorney Battle noted the original design on the unit was based on the UDC which requires a minimum of 50% brick and the intent of the developer is to comply with the UDC.

Mayor Pro Tem Thomas noted that Attorney Battle attempted to meet with all councilmembers via Zoom to review the development and address any questions, concerns, and design of the project and during those discussions, the brick front was the desired aesthetic of those who met with the developer.

Attorney Battle noted there was an attempt made to meet with all members of the Council, and that there was never a mention of a vote, but only what councilmembers would like to see in the project and address any concerns.

Councilman Alexander polled the Council for brick options, noting his approval of all brick front; Councilman Thomas was okay with all brick fronts; Councilman Blount offered no comment; Councilwoman Gantt offered no comment; Councilwoman Barber questioned why not four-sided brick as she referenced the Winding Creek development which has 4-sided brick.

Councilman Alexander motioned to approve Rezoning Item RZ-2022-01 requiring an additional Condition #12 for the Stub-Out with Inter-Parcel Connectivity as recommended by Councilwoman Barber; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Thomas; The motion passed 3-0-2 (Blount/Gantt Abstained). Councilwoman Gantt noted her abstention is because she does not approve of the design of the brick design option prior to meeting with the developers; and noted that she met with the developer once on this project.

Mayor Ford noted there is a request to the defer Public Hearing Item #7, Item #8 and Item #9 until the August 25, 2022, Planning Commission meeting and September 12, 2022, City Council Meeting for all three items.

7. ANNEXATION CASE #AX-2022-01 -- The City of Stockbridge Planning Commission and the City of Stockbridge Mayor and City Council will hear an Annexation Request by S3 Capital Investments, LLC, the Applicant, represented by Battle Law, P.C., the Agent, to annex a parcel, as described below, into the Stockbridge City limits from unincorporated Henry County in order to allow the parcel to be combined with an adjacent parcel for an age-restricted residential development.

Parcel #071-01034012 – Subject property is in unincorporated Henry County, with frontage on Highway 42 North, across from Summit View Drive. Currently zoned ‘MU’ (Mixed-Use), with a land use designation of ‘MIX’ (Mixed-Use). Contains 20.00 +/- acres. Located in Land Lot 31 of District 7. Presented by: Ryan Anderson

NOTE: Request the deferral of the public hearing until the August 25, 2022, Planning Commission meeting and September 12, 2022, City Council Meeting for all three items. Motion to Table to the September 12, 2022, meeting Gantt/Alexander Passed 5-0

8. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CASE #CP-2022-02 -- The City of Stockbridge Planning Commission and the City of Stockbridge Mayor and City Council will hear a Comprehensive Plan Amendment Request by S3 Capital Investments, LLC, the Applicant, represented by Battle Law, P.C., the Agent, to change the future land use designations of certain parcels, as are described below, from ‘Light Industrial’ and ‘Mixed-Use’ to ‘High-Density Residential’ in order to allow for an age-restricted residential development.

Parcel #071-01034014—Located within the Stockbridge City Limits, with frontage on Highway 42 North and situated at the end of Technology Parkway. Applicant proposes to change the current land use designation from ‘Light Industrial’ to ‘High-Density Residential’. Contains 22.01 +/- acres. Located in Land Lot 31 of District 7.

Parcel #071-01034012 – Subject property is in unincorporated Henry County, with frontage on Highway 42 North, across from Summit View Drive. Applicant proposes to change the current County land use designation of ‘Mixed-Use’ to the city land use designation of ‘High-Density Residential’ after the parcel is annexed into the Stockbridge City Limits. Contains 20.00 +/- acres. Located in Land Lot 31 of District 7.

NOTE: Request the deferral of the public hearing until the August 25, 2022, Planning Commission meeting and September 12, 2022, City Council Meeting for all three items. Motion to Table to the September 12, 2022, meeting Alexander/Thomas Passed 5-0

9. REZONING CASE #RZ-2022-02 -- The City of Stockbridge Planning Commission and the City of Stockbridge Mayor and City Council will hear a Rezoning Request by S3 Capital Investments, LLC, the Applicant, represented by Battle Law, P.C., the Agent, to rezone certain property from 'OI' (Office-Institutional) and 'LI' (Light Industrial) in the Stockbridge City Limits and 'MU' (Mixed-Use) in unincorporated Henry County to 'PUD' (Planned Unit Development) to allow for an age-restricted residential development. The property contains two parcels, as are listed below, with 42.01 +/- total acres.

Parcel #071-01034014—Located within the Stockbridge City Limits, with frontage on Highway 42 North and situated at the end of Technology Parkway. Currently zoned OI' (Office-Institutional) and 'LI' (Light Industrial)'. Property lies within the Parkway Mixed-Use Overlay District. Contains 22.01 +/- acres. Located in Land Lot 31 of District 7.

Parcel #071-01034012 – Subject property is in unincorporated Henry County, with frontage on Highway 42 North, across from Summit View Drive. Currently zoned 'MU' (Mixed-Use). Contains 20.00 +/- acres. Located in Land Lot 31 of District 7.

NOTE: Request the deferral of the public hearing until the August 25, 2022, Planning Commission meeting and September 12, 2022, City Council Meeting for all three items. Motion to Table to the September 12, 2022, meeting Alexander/Thomas Passed 5-0

10. Council Consideration to appoint a member to the Citywide Development Authority Board.

Presented by Quinton Washington, City Attorney

Item to be heard following Executive Session

11. Council Consideration to appoint a member to the Citywide Development Authority Board.

Presented by Quinton Washington, City Attorney

Item to be heard following Executive Session (No Action Taken)

COMMENTS FROM THE MAYOR

Mayor Ford noted we are still in a pandemic and encouraged everyone who is eligible to get their 2nd booster; noted we now have a rare disease/virus called Monkey Pox that can be easily transferred by touch and noted vaccinations are being administered by contacting the GA Public Department of Health at 706-845-4035 and get additional information by contacting the Henry County Department of Health located at 135 Henry Parkway or calling their office at 470-664-0005 for more information, noting there are more than 500+ reported cases in the state of Georgia and if you are infected with Monkey Pox, you should isolate for 2-3 weeks, stay home, separate yourself from others, frequently sanitize surfaces; and noted one of the symptoms will be skin lesions that are highly contagious; and noted you can also visit the EPA's website at [EPA.ga.gov/monkeypox](https://www.epa.gov/monkeypox); noted the city had a busy weekend with the Rick Springfield concert that was cut short due to the inclement weather, noting GRC is working to see if he can be brought back a performance, and asked that everyone hold on to their tickets; noted Sunday was the city's Centennial Celebration of 100 years that had been held off due to COVID and was highlighted by the SOS Band, and another weather delay, and included the retiring of Mary Davis; and noted the city will continue its celebrations.

UPCOMING MEETINGS & ANNOUNCEMENTS: Council meetings will be held in the City Council Chamber. Meeting dates and times and/or locations are subject to change. Please visit the city's website www.cityofstockbridge.com for the meeting invitation link, Facebook page or contact City Hall offices at 770-389-7900 for updates.

- Youth Council Meeting, 5:30 p.m. - 2nd Wednesday of each month Levi Meeting Room - City Hall (Aug 10)
- Main Street Advisory Board Meeting, 2nd Friday of each month 9:00 a.m. City Hall – Levi Meeting Room (Aug 12)
- Downtown Development Authority Meeting, 6:00 p.m. Location TBD - 3rd Tuesday of each month Via Zoom (Aug 16)
- Youth Council Advisory Committee Meeting, 6:30 p.m. - 3rd Tuesday of each month Levi Meeting Room - City Hall (Aug 16)
- Planning Commission Meeting, 4th Thursday at 6:30 p.m. City Hall Chamber – (Aug 25)
- City Council Work Session Meeting, Tuesday – City Council Chamber (Aug 30)
- City Council Meeting, Second Monday of each month – City Council Chamber (Sep 12)

UPCOMING CITY EVENTS/INITIATIVES

- *A Continuous Salute to Veterans:* Please forward pictures of Veterans including name, branch, rank, and years of service as Mayor Pro Tem Thomas presents a special tribute to our local veterans. Pictures should be submitted to: [Rosalynd Rawls at rrawls@cityofstockbridge-ga.gov](mailto:Rosalynd.Rawls@cityofstockbridge-ga.gov) Thank you for your service!
- Please join Councilwoman Barber for FREE Zumba on every Saturday morning at Clark Community Park at 8:00 a.m. Come out for fitness in the park!
- Join us for Tasty Tuesday Food Truck Takeover at Clark Park Tuesday, August 9th, August 16th, August 23rd and August 30th, each day from 5:30 – 8:30 p.m. Come out and enjoy great food and family fun!
- The City of Stockbridge will host its next Annexation Town Hall Meeting on Monday, August 15th at 6:30 p.m. at Global Impact Christian Ministries 125 Red Oak Road Stockbridge, GA. Come out and learn the facts about the Annexation Referendum, Neighborhoods in the proposed area, benefits of Stockbridge Annexation and proposed council districts.
- Main Street Movie on the City Hall Lawn will be viewed on Friday, August 19th featuring (Spiderman). The fun starts at 7:00 p.m. Bring your lawn chairs and blankets!
- Here is your opportunity to *Meet the Mayor*, and voice your questions and/or concerns Monday, August 22nd from 10 a.m. to noon (In-Person). Please contact Rosalynd Rawls to schedule an appointment. 770-389-7900 ext. 248.

UPCOMING CONCERTS

- Fantasia and Johnny Gill – Saturday, August 13th SOLD OUT
- Fantasia and Johnny Gill 2nd Show – Sunday, August 14th
- Ladies Night Out Ft. 112, Blackstreet, Next and Ginuwine, Saturday, August 20th
- Justin Moore w/Spencer Crandall, Saturday, August 27th

EXECUTIVE SESSION (Exemptions from the Georgia Open Meetings Act)

Motion to convene Executive Session for Real Estate, Personnel, and Litigation
Gantt/Blount Passed 5-0

Motion to adjourn Executive Session Alexander/Blount Passed 3-0 (Gantt and Thomas were not present for the vote),

Motion to reconvene the Meeting Alexander/Blount Passed 3-0 (Gantt and Thomas were not present for the vote),

Motion to appoint Ariel Shaw and Cathy Hill as members of the Citywide Development Authority made by Councilman Alexander; seconded by Councilman Blount. The motion passed 3-0. (Gantt and Thomas were not present for the vote),

Motion to adjourn Alexander/Blount Passed 3-0. Gantt and Thomas were not present for the vote),